UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.      | FILING DATE                       | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|----------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/663,839           | 09/17/2003                        | Sung Uk Moon         | 242923US90          | 2705             |  |
|                      | 7590 09/13/200<br>AK, MCCLELLAND, | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |  |
| 1940 DUKE ST         | REET                              | WENDELL, ANDREW      |                     |                  |  |
| ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 |                                   |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|                      |                                   |                      | 2618                |                  |  |
|                      |                                   |                      |                     |                  |  |
|                      |                                   |                      | NOTIFICATION DATE   | DELIVERY MODE    |  |
|                      |                                   |                      | 09/13/2007          | ELECTRONIC       |  |

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|-----------------|--------------|
| 10/663,839      | MOON ET AL.  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit     |
| Andrew Wendell  | 2618         |

| <b>3</b>   | Examine   | Aitoill  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|  | Andrew Wendell  | 2618   |  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ears on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence add  | ress                                       |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 20 August 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.   The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:  | n the same day as filing a Notice of<br>wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff<br>otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o<br>ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo | Appeal. To avoid aba<br>fidavit, or other evider<br>compliance with 37 C | nce, which<br>FR 41.31; or (3)             |  |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date   | -   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire it  | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing   | g date of the final rejecti  | on.  |  |  |  |  |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL  | dension and the corresponding amount<br>shortened statutory period for reply orig<br>r than three months after the mailing da                               | of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi                      | iate extension fee<br>ce action; or (2) as |  |  |  |  |
|  | aliana a with 27 OFD 44 27 mount ba   | filed within how mend  | 646  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.</li> </ol>  | ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to  | avoid dismissal of th  | ns of the date of<br>the appeal. Since     |  |  |  |  |
| AMENDMENTS   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further co</li> </ol>  |   |  | ecause                                     |  |  |  |  |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below   |   | i E below),  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| appeal; and/or   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a   |   | ected claims.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1  |   | empliant Amendment   | (PTOL-324).                                |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the<br/>non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro   |   | ll be entered and an e   | explanation of                             |  |  |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3 and 5-10</u> .   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |   | •  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  3. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but  | it before or on the data of filing a N  | otice of Appeal will be  | at he entered                              |  |  |  |  |
| because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br/>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to</li> </ol>   | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe   | al and/or appellant fa   | ils to provide a                           |  |  |  |  |
| showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).  10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.   | ut does NOT place the application i   | n condition for allowa   | nce because:                               |  |  |  |  |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13. ☐ Other: N 1 N |   | Andrew Wene<br>571-272-05  | lell .                                     |  |  |  |  |
| NAY MAUNG  |   | [7] 272 TO   |  |  |  |  |  |
| SUPERVISORY PATENT   | EXAMINER  | 9 11-272-05  | <b>'' /</b>                                |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Claims 1, 3, and 9-10 raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.